		1
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	LOUISIANA USED MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION	
6	STATE OF LOUISIANA	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	REGULAR MEETING	
14	AUGUST 21, 2017	
15	BEGINNING AT 9:34 A.M.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	3132 VALLEY CREEK	
21	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA	
22		
23		
24	REPORTED BY:	
25	BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR	
	I .	

		3
1	ALSO PRESENT:	
2		
3	MS. KIM BARON	
4	MR. DEREK PARNELL	
5	MS. MONA ANDERSON	
6	MS. TONYA BURKS	
7	MR. PERRY ESPONGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		- 1

1	MR. SMITH:
2	I make a motion.
3	MR. POTEET:
4	I've got a motion from Darty.
5	MR. DONNELL:
6	Second.
7	MR. POTEET:
8	Second from Mr. Donnell.
9	All in favor, say, "Aye."
10	(All "Aye" responses.)
11	MR. POTEET:
12	Any opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. POTEET:
15	The motion carries.
16	All right. So let's get into it.
17	Ms. Mona, we've got two months worth, right?
18	MS. ANDERSON:
19	Yes, sir. Okay. If you'll turn
20	in your binders to the financial statements
21	for the month ending June, these are the
22	unaudited financial statements. Our
23	auditor, John McKowen, will be starting his
24	field work in September. So we should have
25	an audit either August or November. Our

1 audit is not due until December.

Adjustments will be made to these financials once the audit is complete.

The operating account at the end of -- the balance at the end of the -- last month was \$2,415,780. The accounts receivable fines were \$217,198, just a slight increase on some fines that we added from was previous meeting. The equipment total increased due to the purchase of some two-in-one computers for our compliance investigators. The accounts payable down at the bottom of the -- page 3, accounts payable and payroll related liabilities were about the same as they were the prior month. Claim against bonds decreased slightly.

On page 2, the deferred inflows, which is our 2018 revenue, was \$203,905.

And, again, you're going to see those figures from there on down change as we do the audit adjustments.

Turning on to the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, the year-to-date revenues increased about \$118,600 for a total

year-to-date revenue of \$1,542,335.

2.0

On page 4, the retirement system contributions were lower during that fiscal year. The rate at that point was 35.8, but starting in July, it went up to 37.9. So you'll see those figures pick up.

On page 5, the total expenses were down \$525,000 from last year and the net position for the end of June and the end of the fiscal year was \$460,000. Again, that is subject to change.

Turning on to the four-year revenue comparison on page 6, this shows the Districts 4 and 5 for 2016 and '17 and 2014/15 shows a comparison there and the other districts for the alternate years. There was a slight drop in the total revenues due to a decrease in fine. On page 7 is the illustration of those -- of the revenue. And on page 8 are some comparisons of year-to-date expenses to budget.

On page 9 is the certificate of deposit summary. There were no changes in June. And page 10 is the accounts receivable hearing fines report. We

	~
1	assessed \$17,300 in June and we collected
2	\$13,550 leaving a total balance, including
3	what's at the Attorney General, of \$217,198.
4	Do you want to approve these
5	separately or
6	MR. POTEET:
7	Yes, we can do that.
8	Does anyone have any questions
9	for Mona regarding June's financial
10	statements? Any comments?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. POTEET:
13	I need a motion.
14	MR. OLAVE:
15	I make a motion, Mr. Chairman, we
16	accept the financial statements for June.
17	MR. SMITH:
18	Second.
19	MR. POTEET:
20	Second from Darty.
21	All in favor, say, "Aye."
22	(All "Aye" responses.)
23	MR. POTEET:
24	Any opposed?
25	(No response.)

MR. POTEET:

All right. Let's move on to July.

MS. ANDERSON:

Okay. On the statement of net position on page 1, the operating account balance decreased to \$2,071,593, which is about a \$300,000 decrease from the year before, but \$165,000 increase from the prior year. The CD investments increased. We invested \$250,000 we transferred from the operating account to a CD with the Bank of St. Francisville, who is an approved State fiscal agent, at a rate of 1.2 percent interest. The fines accounts receivable were \$216,498. Prepaid expenses there increased to include a payment on the insurance, building, auto, workers' comp, and the payment of the CAVU maintenance fee.

All the other assets were mainly the same as compared to the prior month. At the bottom of the page in the liability section, the claim against bond didn't change from the prior month. And the payroll related liabilities were \$30,602,

which is a normal balance.

On page 2, the long-term liabilities were \$306,805. And, like I said, the remainder of the liabilities will change after we perform the audit. Total liabilities and deferred inflows were \$4,342,375.

On page 3, the month-to-date and the year-to-date revenues are the same in the first month of the fiscal year. The revenues were down primarily due to the fact that we had some auction transaction fees that were not received until early August. They're normally received at the end of July. They were received the first week of August.

MR. POTEET:

That's just essentially a timing issue --

MS. ANDERSON:

Yes, sir.

MR. POTEET:

-- on that?

MS. ANDERSON:

Yes, sir.

On page 4, the computer-related expenses included the CAVU fees, which last year, we didn't have them, because they didn't bill us until August. So there was a difference there.

On page 5, the unaudited change in net position was \$64,825. The four-year revenue comparison on page 6, again, shows you that the -- and these are really month to date instead of year to date, because it's the first year -- the first month in the fiscal year. It shows you the comparison of the two and this is the end of the -- of our licensing period. On page 7 is the fee revenue comparison. And page 8 is the year-to-date comparison of the actual to budgeted, again, not very much, because we just started the fiscal year.

On page 9, the CD deposit -certificate of deposit summary includes the
St. Francisville CD. And I apologize, that
last cell on there should be 1.2 percent,
not the maturity date of the CD. So that
brings our total in CDs to \$748,166.

On page 10, since there wasn't a

2.2

commission meeting, we really didn't assess any fines. We did collect \$700, which brought our total to \$216,498.

And I'd just like to mention that in your binders, you have the related party questionnaires, which if you're new to us, that form is required by law for our annual fiscal audit. You can fill it out, mail it to the auditor, or you can fill it out and bring it to the next meeting. But he needs to have those items figured out -- filled out and you can see a list of it. It will be confidential. Only he will see that. It's just a matter of record that they have to prove that we're not -- we don't have any related party transactions.

Unless anybody has any questions, Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report.

MR. CORMIER:

2.2

I have a question on Mr. Shawn
Calvit with accounts receivable. I see we
referred it to the Attorney General. Do we
get some type of report of what's going on
with that?

MS. ANDERSON:

1	I have not lately. They do
2	sometimes send a report. I don't know.
3	Kim, did y'all get any response?
4	MS. BARON:
5	No, ma'am.
6	MS. ANDERSON:
7	I can check on those to see what
8	the status of that is.
9	MR. CORMIER:
10	Okay.
11	MR. HALLACK:
12	I think he's been arrested
13	pursuant to the Department of Revenue. He
14	was charged with some type of revenue
15	violation and was arrested.
16	MR. CORMIER:
17	Yes. He's out.
18	MR. HALLACK:
19	He's out?
20	MR. CORMIER:
21	He has a lot of assets. That's
22	why I was wondering how he's doing.
23	MS. MORRIS:
24	It might be something that if he
25	had an arrest, you might want to report it

1	to the DA. If they order restitution, maybe
2	that could be included in the restitution.
3	But if they don't know about it, then they
4	wouldn't know to ask for it.
5	MR. HALLACK:
6	He was arrested pursuant to an
7	investigation by the Department of Revenue.
8	So you would think that they would know
9	something.
10	MS. MORRIS:
11	I'll just make sure.
12	MS. ANDERSON:
13	I can check with Mr. Guillory and
14	see if he has any kind of information and
15	contact them on it.
16	MR. CORMIER:
17	Thank you.
18	MR. POTEET:
19	Any other questions or comments?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. POTEET:
22	All right. I need a motion.
23	MR. SMITH:
24	I make a motion.
25	MR. OLAVE:

```
1
                    Second.
 2
              MR. POTEET:
                    Mr. Olave seconds.
 3
 4
                    All in favor, say "Aye."
 5
                    (All "Aye" responses.)
 6
              MR. POTEET:
 7
                    Any opposed?
 8
                    (No response.)
 9
              MR. POTEET:
10
                    Okay. As always, thank you,
11
         Mona.
12
              MS. ANDERSON:
13
                    Thank you.
14
              MR. POTEET:
15
                    All right. Ratification of
16
         imposed penalties.
17
              MR. PARNELL:
18
                    Commissioners, you'll find in
19
         your packet a chart illustrates the dealers
20
         that were in violation of our statutes.
21
         have determined that the public interest can
22
         be served without further administrative
23
         proceeding. Thus, civil penalties were
24
         imposed. I will announce the names, the
25
         city, and the violation amount.
```

Do we have anyone present that represents any of the dealers that are on the list?

MS. BARON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

They were going to text me if anyone came in, but nobody has come in.

MR. PARNELL:

All right. First on the list is Houston Motors of LA, LLC, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is \$250. A.M.A. Education Solutions, LLC, doing business as A.S.T., fine amount is \$150. Angelo's Auto Sales, Incorporated, from Lake Charles, Louisiana, fine amount is \$750. Monroe Car Pros, LLC, from Monroe, Louisiana, fine amount is \$1,800. Super Discount Cars & Trucks, LLC, Monroe, Louisiana, fine amount is \$800. Terrance Angelo, Individually and doing business as Angelo's Auto, Lake Charles, Louisiana, fine amount is \$750. C&M Motors, LLC, in Covington, Louisiana, fine amount is \$3,050. Mandeville Motors, LLC, Covington, Louisiana, fine amount is \$1,350. Justin David Matthews, Individually and doing business as Performance Wholesale,

from Lake Charles, Louisiana, fine amount is \$2,500. Consumers Auto Sales #1, LLC, from Bossier City, fine amount is \$1,000. Charlie's Tire and Auto Sales, Incorporated, from Eunice, Louisiana, is \$700. Frank J. Breaux, Individually and doing business as Breaux's Construction, Erath, Louisiana, fine amount is \$500. Deal Makers, LLC, from Abita Springs, Louisiana, fine amount is Rouge Dealership, Incorporated, doing business as Zoom Car Care, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is \$400. Gilbert Rodriguez, Individually and doing business as Crowley Auto Sales, from Crowley Louisiana, fine amount is \$150. First Choice Auto Sales, from Lake Charles, Louisiana, fine amount is \$950. Coy Dupree, Individually and doing business as Dupree's Auto Salvage, from Opelousas, Louisiana, fine amount is \$2,000.

Commissioners, the total amount of civil penalties for the month is \$17,600. I ask that you ratify the imposed civil penalties assessed.

MR. SMITH:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 I make a motion. 2 MR. DONNELL: 3 Second. 4 MR. POTEET: 5 Second by Mr. Donnell. 6 All in favor, say, "Aye." 7 (All "Aye" responses.) 8 MR. POTEET: 9 Anyone opposed? 10 (No response.) 11 MR. POTEET: 12 Those are now ratified. 13 Oh, it's time for the Executive 14 Director's report. MR. PARNELL: 15 Commissioners, so you'll find in 16 17 your report, a report that illustrates 18 what's happened over the last two months in 19 the enforcement division. June and July, 20 there were 192 alleged issues for the months. June and July, there were 107 cases 2.1 22 assigned. 14 of those cases have been 23 closed. There's a case report. The third 24 item is the case closed report. It's the total of number of cases that were closed 25

for the -- those two months, which were 62.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next item is with our licensing program. Commissioners, you know over the past several months, we've been working a lot with our licensing program. We've been working with GL Solutions trying to go in a different direction away from CAVU.

If you recall, our go live date was supposed to be August, so this month. I kind of wanted to be ready to inform you that we decided to go in a different direction other than dealing with GL Solutions. The reason being, we were working very closely with them very hard on trying to get going through the developing process -- the development process, I should Everything was going well. Things -sav. well, let me say that things were going fairly well. We were really excited about the direction we were going and we thought the program could automate a lot of our processes, streamline a lot of our processes, but that feeling kind of changed. Once we started getting into the meat of

them converting our information over into their system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Once we got the conversion process, the information that we were looking at was -- just really wasn't usable for us on a day-to-day basis. We couldn't -- we understood that, you know, with -- we had an older licensing program going into a different licensing program. We knew that there would be some changes that we would have to do just in our business process here in order to accomplish the same goals that we were looking for in their newer program, but the problem was, it wasn't usable data that was moving over from our data to their It just was not converting well at program. all.

And we spent a lot of time. I made sure that the management staff took its priorities. We spent a lot of time working with them. It just wasn't working out for us. Throughout the entire time, when we -- whenever we saw some issues that were going wrong or anything of that nature, we notified them and let them know that this

was going wrong. Early on, they were making changes, but later on towards -- once we got to the conversion point, anything that we saw that was incorrect or didn't convert over, we would notify them, but they were telling us at that point that they wouldn't be able us do any changes until after we go live. So after we go live, that means whatever changes that we were going to have to make, we've got to pay for. And so that was a no-no. That was unacceptable for us. You know, the information just was not converting over right. It was not usable for us, especially, the licensing side of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our agency is kind of broken up into three sections, the licensing side, the enforcement side, and the accounting side. They did very well with the licensing portion of the program. But once we moved over to the enforcement side, it wasn't usable for us at all. The accounting side was just missing a lot of -- honestly, we were told that we would receive the exact same functionality that we currently have.

And throughout that process, once we got past the licensing part, we started seeing that we were actually losing functionality and that just really wasn't worth it for us to move forward with that agency — that company. It wasn't worth us doing that. So in a contract, we — August 1st would have been the time where we would have had to start paying. So we kind of got rid of the contract. We — well, we notified them that we were no longer going to work with them on it, you know.

The owner, he was upset. He just sent me an email back, copied and pasted email. We sent an official document letting him know that -- we sent an email saying just a bunch of stuff. And so we sent him an actual written request by our contact. He hasn't responded back in a written format. So he just copied and pasted an email that he sent earlier. Well, that's not really the type of company we want to try and move forward moving forward.

It was very disappointing for us, because we really spent a lot of time with

this process, you know. As I said, the management team here, I mean, we -- for two or three weeks, we were sitting in here with a developer and a designer going through -all day going through, you know, the process, what we were looking for, what we want to see happen. And it was all good then until they went back and started actually developing it. It was so much -the different departments in that company weren't even communicating together and that was a huge problem for us. We would talk to one person and, oh, yes, I can make these changes, we can do this. The next time we would have another meeting, we thought the developer had an idea of what changes we had requested to be made. So we decided to go a different direction. We haven't found anything yet. So I guess we'll just have to take our time to find something that's going to work for us moving forward. We do have a meeting with another company on Wednesday at 10 o'clock, the 23rd.

MR. POTEET:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. PARNELL:

2.2

2.4

Okay. So we'll just go from there. So I kind of wanted to inform you-all of that with the automation that we thought we were going to have and things for our processes. Our online section of it was really -- supposed to be really great for us and stuff, but it just wasn't worth it for us going forward. It was too much money for us not to have what we want and that's just not acceptable.

MR. POTEET:

Wasn't this company recommended by another State agency?

MR. PARNELL:

Not necessarily, no, not another State agency. What we did was, we kind of did a search and we found a company that --we tried to vet them ourselves. We reached out to other State agencies that used this company in the past and it's based out of Oregon. A lot of good --well, we only ran into one company that had a lot of issues very similar to what we were dealing with. It was like eight companies we contacted.

Of those eight, seven had rave reviews.

They felt really good about the program, so.

So back to CAVU, huh?

MR. PARNELL:

MR. POTEET:

Back to CAVU. So we're going to try to reach out to them, because we're trying to see if we can do some upgrades prior to us moving forward with our renewal season. That's not going to change much of our functionality, unless something miraculous has happened with them over the last three or four years, because the last time I think we had an upgrade or really dealt with them or spoke with them was, like, 2014, I think it was, so.

MS. MORRIS:

I think they've been sold since then.

MR. PARNELL:

They've been sold, yes. The new company is called MicroPac. That's been, like, the third time they sold the company. And, you know, every time, they're like, oh, yes, we're going to do this, we're going to

do great stuff for you, we're going to make sure the customer service is there, but that never happens. So we have not dealt with this company yet in that regard. So that's the direction we're going to go short-term. But we still ultimately want to move.

MR. OLAVE:

2.4

One question. Is the company, GL Solutions, is it that we don't feel like they can get us what we want or are they just not willing to comply before the launch date?

MR. PARNELL:

I believe it's both, actually. I don't believe they can get us what we're expecting, what we're looking for when we sat down with them and explained what we wanted.

MR. POTEET:

I think whoever at the end of the day --

MR. PARNELL:

At the end of the day, they could not deliver a program that we would have the same functionality that we currently have. And when we started seeing that we were losing functionality, one of the statements that I think Mona said that, you know, she would prefer CAVU than that, looking at that screen. And we all felt that way looking at it, you know, because it was just -- we knew the screens would be completely different. That wasn't our issue. It was just that our information, our data, did not convert over, you know. We were missing data.

MR. OLAVE:

2.2

They promised to fix afterwards, though, kind of?

MR. PARNELL:

Yes.

MR. OLAVE:

I was just thinking maybe there's a compromise in there since the -- since all the time you guys invested in this and the time they invested. I'm sure they had money invested in this, too. They may want to compromise and get these things fixed beforehand.

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

MR. PARNELL:

Well, we expressed to them that

we needed these things to be changed and fixed prior to us going live. We spoke with one of their -- I think the second lady in charge. I can't think of her name right now. Basically, told us that they're not going to do anything to appease us on that contract, you know. They -- we -- they felt like --

MR. OLAVE:

There you go.

MR. PARNELL:

enough, is what they were saying, but it really was not, you know. I mean, when you have missing data, you know, the primary source of — in the enforcement division is for the investigator to go out there and look at the screen and be able to see what's going on. When that converted over, it would be hard to find anything if it's just piled all up together. You couldn't really see what was going on. And that's a huge, you know, with them out in the field. They don't need anything more cumbersome than what they have now.

1	MS. MORRIS:
2	There were errors in it as well.
3	MR. PARNELL:
4	Yes.
5	MS. MORRIS:
6	Inaccuracies, that's real
7	dangerous.
8	MR. OLAVE:
9	That's just bad business.
10	MR. PARNELL:
11	We had some people on the
12	accounting side showing that they had fines
13	well, not fines, that they had monies out
14	there they needed to pay, but that wasn't in
15	our system. It just it converted over
16	very poorly, you know. And that was we
17	couldn't do it.
18	MS. ANDERSON:
19	Application of payments does not
20	it shows some in the system as credits
21	available to them.
22	MR. OLAVE:
23	Yes, that's a problem.
24	MS. ANDERSON:
25	In the UAT, they only in the

testing, they were only going to allow us to test their program against their set of instructions. We could not delve into any part of the conversion. And some of the data was converted -- even though there were fields available, they converted all to the comments section and it was just all -- it was like a block about a half an inch and it would just scroll and scroll and scroll. Whereas, in CAVU, it was laid out in tables and what-have-you. And they weren't willing to fix that prior to go live, so.

MR. TAYLOR:

I've gone through two conversions and getting them to fix things after the fact, after you are live versus what they tell you they will do for you beforehand.

MR. PARNELL:

Right.

MR. TAYLOR:

Right now, 100 percent, they will not fix anything for me and the customer service just plummets after we go live.

MS. ANDERSON:

And they charge us on a per user,

2.1

per item basis. And it was going to be quite expensive to fix that. So we didn't think that was --

MR. TAYLOR:

2.1

Y'all did the right thing if you're having trouble.

MR. PARNELL:

We've been working with a company and we see already, you know, the trouble that can come, you know. So we kind of knew what we were looking for. We knew all the trouble that we had throughout the entire time with CAVU. So I think they were a little bit surprised that our knowledge of what we were looking for specific -- we were very specific on what we need it to do, what we want it to do and -- but there were some issues throughout, but that was the end of it, so.

MR. POTEET:

Sometimes the devil you know is better than the devil you don't know.

MR. PARNELL:

Absolutely. But I will say this,
I think it will help us going through this

process, because we understand a little bit more about, you know, what we need to have prepared and ready to go for when we go to another program. With that said, that was the end of my report.

So any questions, comments, or concerns?

MR. POTEET:

Anybody have any questions for Derek?

(No response.)

MR. PARNELL:

Okay. Well, does anybody have anything -- items for next agenda? If not, I'll just -- do you have something?

MR. HALLACK:

Yes. We need to redo our rules and regs. I brought this up at the last meeting. So we really need to work on it. If Kim was in here, I'd ask her to pull it up and show you how ancient some of our rules and regs are. A lot of our rules and regs — at one time, this was the Louisiana Recreational and Used Motor Vehicle Commission. We licensed and regulated boat

dealers, motorcycle dealers, RV dealers, and stuff like that. And a lot of the rules and regs that are in there are for motorcycle dealers, boat dealers, and things of that nature. And those got removed from this Commission in 2008 -- 2007, 2008, somewhere around there. It was the year before Derek started.

MR. PARNETIT:

2.2

I came in '09.

MR. HALLACK:

Okay, 2008. So a lot of the rules and regulations that we have on the books are with regard to things that we don't license and regulate anymore. We need to empty the rules and regs with regard to that. There's some other stuff in there about the hearing committee.

At one time, this Commission functioned with a hearing committee where less than the full body of the Commission would hold hearings. And you can do that according to the Administrative Procedures Act, but it's still a bit more of a pain in the rear than just going ahead and holding a

full hearing. When you hold a hearing by less than the full agency, you still have to make the record available to the full agency at the next meeting for them to review it and review the decision of the hearing committee. So we never -- we haven't used the hearing committee in 15, 20 years. So I think we need to look that back over and maybe get rid of that stuff. And also, too, we've kind of changed the way we license and regulate certain people. And so I think we just really need to do an overall of our rules and regs. MR. POTEET: Mr. Hallack, I think that you

should work on that and give us a draft.

MR. HALLACK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay.

MR. POTEET:

Can you do that?

MR. HALLACK:

Yes, sir.

MR. POTEET:

And then we can review it.

MR. HALLACK:

	36
1	Yes. I'll need to get with the
2	staff
3	MR. POTEET:
4	Sure.
5	MR. HALLACK:
6	because this is really a
7	staff-oriented process.
8	MR. POTEET:
9	Is this something that really
10	needs to go before the Commission?
11	MR. HALLACK:
12	Oh, absolutely.
13	MR. POTEET:
14	Okay. We have to approve it, I'm
15	sure.
16	MR. HALLACK:
17	Right.
18	MS. MORRIS:
19	We have to approve it and it has
20	to go to the Legislative fiscal office. And
21	so it's a process, but it has to be approved
22	first.
23	MR. HALLACK:
24	This is the law. I mean, this is
25	the law that you fix and you do it, but it

1 still has to be approved. 2 MR. POTEET: 3 All right. Okay. 4 MR. TAYLOR: 5 Can I request we get it prior to 6 three days before the meeting? 7 MR. HALLACK: 8 Sure. The other thing, too --9 MR. POTEET: 10 Well, look, I'll add on to that. 11 If you can't get it done by a week before, 12 which is only three weeks from today, if you 13 can't get it done by the week before, let's 14 move it to the next meeting, so we have at 15 least a -- I think a week to review it 16 before we get here. 17 MR. TAYLOR: 18 Thank you. 19 MR. HALLACK: 20 The other thing that's ancient is 21 our policies. We really need to change our 2.2 policies, too. We've got some policies in 23 there that go back to the formation of the

of this place. It talks about uniforms for

staff and stuff of that nature.

24

25

1	MR. PARNELL:
2	We can work on the policies and
3	procedure.
4	MR. POTEET:
5	This doesn't have that's what
6	I was thinking, reviewed by us
7	MS. MORRIS:
8	Yes.
9	MR. POTEET:
10	just by us.
11	MS. MORRIS:
12	Right.
13	MR. HALLACK:
14	Right. And you don't need to
15	have a formal decision on what to do with
16	that.
17	MR. POTEET:
18	Okay. All right. You've got
19	yourself some more work there.
20	Congratulations.
21	MR. HALLACK:
22	We have one we have two
23	hearings coming up, one of which says he
24	wanted to stipulate. So give me a chance to
25	figure out what he wants to do.

```
39
 1
               MR. POTEET:
 2
                     We need to adjourn here and come
         back together anyway, right? Let's take 10.
 3
 4
               MR. DONNELL:
                     (Makes motion.)
 5
 6
               MR. OLAVE:
 7
                     Second.
 8
               MR. POTEET:
 9
                     All in favor?
                     (All "Aye" responses.)
10
11
              (Meeting adjourned at 10:08 a.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETTY D. GLISSMAN, Certified Court
Reporter, Certificate No. 86150, in and for
the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify
that the Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle
Commission August 21, 2017, meeting was
reported by me in the stenotype reporting
method, was prepared and transcribed by me
or under my personal direction and
supervision, and is a true and correct
transcript to the best of my ability and
understanding.

This August 25, 2017, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR
CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER